

Gold-197 Mössbauer and $^{31}\text{P}\{-^1\text{H}\}$ Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopic Studies on Gold(I) Complexes with Tertiary Phosphines

Ali K. H. Al-Sa'ady, Charles A. McAuliffe, Karen Moss, R. V. ('Dick') Parish,* and Roy Fields

Department of Chemistry, The University of Manchester Institute of Science and Technology, Manchester M60 1QD

Further studies on solutions of $[\text{AuXL}] + \text{L}$ and $[\text{AuL}_2]\text{BPh}_4 + \text{L}$ ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}$ or I ; $\text{L} =$ tertiary phosphine) show that for $\text{L} = \text{PBU}^n_2\text{Ph}$ or PPR^n_2Ph , no more than three ligands can be co-ordinated to the gold atom, while for $\text{L} = \text{PPR}^n_2\text{Ph}$, PEt_2Ph , PMePh_2 , or PMe_2Ph , four ligands can be co-ordinated. However, for $\text{L} = \text{PMe}_2\text{Ph}$ the tris(ligand) complex is not observed. There is some evidence for association of the halide with $[\text{AuL}_2]^+$ for $\text{L} = \text{PPR}^n_2\text{Ph}$. Gold-197 Mössbauer data are reported for a range of complexes of types $[\text{AuXL}]$ and $[\text{AuL}_2]\text{Y}$ ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}$ or I , $\text{Y} = \text{BPh}_4$ or ClO_4), which are consistent with values for related compounds. The former show the curious trend that the isomer shift and quadrupole splitting are lower for $\text{X} = \text{I}$ than for $\text{X} = \text{Cl}$. The four-co-ordinate complexes $[\text{AuL}_4]\text{BPh}_4$ ($\text{L} = \text{PMe}_2\text{Ph}$ or PMePh_2) follow previous trends, and the quadrupole splitting of $[\text{AuCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$ is consistent with the known distorted tetrahedral structure.

Previous studies in this laboratory have shown that in solution the formation of the complexes $[\text{AuL}_n]^+$ ($n = 3$ or 4 ; $\text{L} =$ tertiary phosphine) is dependent on the cone angle of the ligand.¹ We now present data for the homologous series of ligands PR_2Ph ($\text{R} = \text{Me}$, Et , Pr^n , Pr^i , or Bu^n) which further exemplify these trends. Gold-197 Mössbauer spectroscopic data for some new two- and four-co-ordinate complexes of these ligands are also given.

Results and Discussion

Solution Studies.—Solutions of the complexes $[\text{AuClL}]$ were treated with aliquots of the appropriate ligand. At room temperature, rapid exchange gave only single, average signals. At 180–200 K, well resolved spectra were obtained, showing the co-ordination chemical shifts (c.c.s.) listed in Table 1. The general trends are similar to those noted previously:¹ the c.c.s. values lie in the order $[\text{AuClL}] < [\text{AuL}_2]^+ > [\text{AuL}_3]^+ > [\text{AuL}_4]^+$.

The data for $\text{L} = \text{PMe}_2\text{Ph}$ agree with those reported earlier,² except that the species with c.c.s. 23.2 p.p.m. is now assigned as the tetrakis(ligand) complex, $[\text{Au}(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_4]^+$. This assignment is supported by direct observation of a single resonance, at the same c.c.s., for $[\text{Au}(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_4]\text{BPh}_4$; there was no indication of dissociation. Addition of two or more molar equivalents of the ligand to a solution of $[\text{Au}(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_2]\text{BPh}_4$ gave only the resonances of $[\text{Au}(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_4]^+$ and the free ligand. In this system, the tris(ligand) complex is clearly unstable to disproportionation.

For $\text{L} = \text{PPR}^i_2\text{Ph}$ or PBU^n_2Ph , only three species could be detected. At $\text{Au} : \text{L} = 1 : 2.5$, two broad signals were obtained, one close to that of $[\text{AuL}_2]^+$, the other with lower c.c.s. The shape and slight shift of the spectra indicate some exchange even at 180 K. On raising $\text{Au} : \text{L}$ to 1 : 3.5, the spectrum sharpened, showing the high-field signal and the free ligand. For these ligands, therefore, the tris complex is the highest formed. This behaviour is similar to that reported¹ for $\text{L} = \text{P}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{11})\text{Ph}_2$. Correlations have been made between the maximum number of ligands bound to gold(0), palladium(0), or platinum(0) and the cone angle of the ligand.¹ The cone angle for PPR^i_2Ph is slightly greater than that for $\text{P}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{11})\text{Ph}_2$ (160 and 154° respectively).³ According to Tolman,³ however, ethyl, n-propyl, and n-butyl groups give the same contribution

to the total cone angle, but the increased bulk of the n-butyl group is evident both here, for $\text{L} = \text{PBU}^n_2\text{Ph}$, and in our earlier study with $\text{L} = \text{PBU}^n_3$: no tetrakis complex could be detected in either case.

In three cases, the iodo-complexes $[\text{AuIL}]$ were examined ($\text{L} = \text{PMe}_2\text{Ph}$, PPR^n_2Ph , or PPR^i_2Ph). These gave resonances 4–5 p.p.m. to low field of the corresponding chloro-complexes; such shielding effects are common in transition-metal complexes. On addition of the ligand, small differences (0.6–4.5 p.p.m.) were found between the c.c.s. of the iodo- and chloro-complexes and of the bis(ligand) complexes $[\text{AuL}_2]\text{BPh}_4$. It is difficult to assess the significance of such small shifts but, given the general reproducibility of ca. ± 0.3 p.p.m., differences of more than about 1 p.p.m. are probably significant. Thus, for $\text{L} = \text{PMe}_2\text{Ph}$, the three shifts are indistinguishable, but for $\text{L} = \text{PPR}^n_2\text{Ph}$ they lie in the order $\text{I}^- < \text{Cl}^- < \text{BPh}_4^-$. This may indicate some association of the halide ions with the cation, towards the formation of the three-co-ordinate complex $[\text{AuXL}_2]$, which is greater for $\text{X} = \text{I}$ than for $\text{X} = \text{Cl}$. Consistently, for the bulkier ligand PPR^i_2Ph , the chloride and iodide bis(ligand) systems have the same c.c.s.

In mixtures of $[\text{AuClL}]$ and $[\text{AuIL}]$ ($\text{L} = \text{PMe}_2\text{Ph}$ or PPR^i_2Ph), a single averaged signal was seen at ambient temperature which resolved into separate components characteristic of the individual complexes at 200 K. Presumably, associative exchange occurs by intramolecular co-ordination of the halide ligands.

Gold-197 Mössbauer Spectra.—New data are reported in Table 2. The two-co-ordinate complexes are unexceptional apart from the three pairs $[\text{AuXL}]$ with $\text{X} = \text{Cl}$ or I , $\text{L} = \text{PMe}_2\text{Ph}$, PPR^n_2Ph , or PPR^i_2Ph . In these cases, the iodide has the smaller isomer shift (i.s.) and quadrupole splitting (q.s.). Similar trends are found for $\text{L} = \text{PPh}_3$ and for $[\text{AuX}_2]^-$ and AuX (Table 2).^{4–8} In every case, the difference is barely greater than the experimental error, but all show the same behaviour. This is unexpected, since gold(0) is 'soft' and would be expected to form a more covalent bond with iodide than with chloride, *i.e.* the Mössbauer parameters show the opposite trend to that expected. This is at present inexplicable.

The data for $[\text{Au}\{\text{Ph}_2\text{P}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{PPh}_2\}]\text{BPh}_4$ are similar to those of other bis(phosphine) complexes,

Table 1. Phosphorus-31 co-ordination chemical shifts ($\delta_{\text{complex}} - \delta_{\text{ligand}}$)/p.p.m. for $[\text{AuXL}] + \text{L}$ ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}$ or I) and $[\text{AuL}_2]\text{X} + \text{L}$ ($\text{X} = \text{BPh}_4$)

L	X	$[\text{AuXL}]$	$[\text{AuL}_2]^+$	$[\text{AuL}_3]^+$	$[\text{AuL}_4]^+$	δ_{ligand}^a	Cone angle/ $^\circ$
PMe ₂ Ph	Cl	48.6	62.8		23.2	-45.8	122
	I	52.7	62.0		23.4		
	BPh ₄ ^b		63.1		22.9		
	BPh ₄ ^c				23.1		
PEt ₂ Ph	Cl + I ^d	48.0, 52.3				-18.1	136
	Cl	55.0	64.2	56.6	8.0		
PPr ⁿ ₂ Ph	Cl	54.4	63.3	58.1	19.6	-25.4	136
	I	59.6	61.0				
	BPh ₄		65.5				
PPr ^l ₂ Ph	Cl	51.8	60.7	52.2		6.8	155
	I	56.9	60.1	51.9			
	Cl + I ^d	54.3, 57.1			52.9		
	Cl	56.8	76.2	67.8			
PBu ⁿ ₂ Ph	Cl	56.8	76.2	67.8		-26.2	136
PMePh ₂	BPh ₄	54.5			22.8	-38.5	136

^a In p.p.m. downfield from 85% H₃PO₄. ^b Starting from $[\text{Au}(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_2]\text{BPh}_4$. ^c Starting from $[\text{Au}(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_4]\text{BPh}_4$. ^d A mixture of $[\text{AuCl}(\text{PPr}^l_2\text{Ph})]$ and $[\text{AuI}(\text{PPr}^l_2\text{Ph})]$.

Table 2. Gold-197 Mössbauer and far-i.r. spectroscopic data for gold(i) complexes

Complex	i.s. ^a /mm s ⁻¹	q.s./mm s ⁻¹	$\nu(\text{Au-X})/\text{cm}^{-1}$	$\nu(\text{Au-P})/\text{cm}^{-1}$	Ref.
$[\text{AuCl}(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})]^b$	3.95	7.10	316	373	5, 6
$[\text{AuI}(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})]$	3.67	6.91	164	362	
$[\text{AuCl}(\text{PEt}_2\text{Ph})]$	4.25	7.49	328	355	
$[\text{AuCl}(\text{PPr}^n_2\text{Ph})]$	4.09	7.47	321		
$[\text{AuI}(\text{PPr}^n_2\text{Ph})]$	3.65	6.95	168		
$[\text{AuCl}(\text{PPr}^l_2\text{Ph})]$	4.01	7.45	324	381	
$[\text{AuI}(\text{PPr}^l_2\text{Ph})]$	3.83	7.25	175		
$[\text{AuCl}(\text{PPh}_3)]^b$	4.07	7.45			4-6
$[\text{AuI}(\text{PPh}_3)]^b$	4.02	7.36			
$[\text{Au}(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_2]\text{BPh}_4$	5.05	9.49			1, 6
$[\text{Au}(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_2]\text{ClO}_4$	5.32	9.79			
$[\text{Au}(\text{PPr}^l_2\text{Ph})_2]\text{BPh}_4$	5.36	9.97			
$[\text{Au}(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_4]\text{BPh}_4$	1.98	0.00			
$[\text{Au}(\text{PMePh}_2)_4]\text{BPh}_4$	1.19	0.00			
$[\text{Au}(\text{PMePh}_2)_4]\text{ClO}_4$	1.48	0.00			1
$[\text{Au}(\text{PPh}_3)_4]\text{ClO}_4$	-0.17	0.00			9
$[\text{Au}(\text{AsPh}_3)_4]\text{ClO}_4$	-0.39	0.00			1
$[\text{Au}(\text{pdma})_2][\text{Au}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_2]^{c,d}$	0.8	1.1			10
$[\text{Au}(\text{SbPh}_3)_4][\text{Au}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_2]^{c,d}$	1.11	0.00			10
$[\text{AuCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$	1.18	4.38			
$[\text{Au}(\text{SnCl}_3)(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$	1.64	3.57			12
AuCl^b	-0.16	4.56			<i>e</i>
AuI^b	-0.06	3.94			<i>e</i>
$[\text{AuCl}_2]^{-b}$	1.84	6.28			7, 8
$[\text{AuI}_2]^{-b}$	1.74	5.75			7, 8

^a Relative to gold foil. ^b Average values given. ^c Data for cation only. ^d pdma = *o*-phenylenebis(dimethylarsine). ^e M. O. Faltners and D. A. Shirley, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1970, **53**, 4249; H. D. Bartunik, W. Potzel, R. L. Mössbauer, and G. Kaindl, *Z. Phys.*, 1970, **240**, 1.

indicating linear two-co-ordination for the gold atom.^{1,7,9} The Mössbauer data do not distinguish between a chelated monomer, a ring dimer, or a polymeric form for the cation. However, preliminary mass spectrometric data suggest a dimeric formulation.

The two complexes $[\text{AuL}_4]\text{BPh}_4$ ($\text{L} = \text{PMePh}_2$ or PMe_2Ph) fit well with the four-co-ordinate phosphine complexes reported earlier.^{1,9-11} The zero q.s. unambiguously demonstrates tetrahedral geometry, and the i.s. increases in the expected order: $\text{L} = \text{PPh}_3 < \text{PMePh}_2 < \text{PMe}_2\text{Ph}$. The lower-symmetry complex $[\text{AuCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$ shows a low i.s. consistent with the known four-co-ordinate configuration of the cation,¹¹ and a small q.s. The latter is comparable with that of $[\text{Au}(\text{SnCl}_3)(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$,¹² and arises from the same cause, *viz.* the difference in electron density between the bonds to the three phosphine ligands and that to the fourth ligand. Using the point-charge approach described earlier,¹ with partial

quadrupole splitting values of -3.56 mm s^{-1} for PPh_3 (ref. 4) and -2.02 mm s^{-1} for Cl^- (ref. 4), the calculated q.s. for $[\text{AuCl}(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$ is 3.08 mm s^{-1} . The observed value is rather larger (4.38 mm s^{-1}), which is consistent with the known increase in P-Au-P bond angles from the tetrahedral value.¹¹

Experimental

Phosphorus-31 n.m.r. spectra and gold-197 Mössbauer spectra were obtained as described previously.¹ The tertiary phosphines and their gold complexes were obtained by standard methods.

Acknowledgements

We are indebted to Professor C. E. Johnson for the loan of a ¹⁹⁶Pt Mössbauer source, Mr. C. Evans for the n.m.r. measure-

ments, Miss J. A. Sandbank for a sample of $[\text{Au}\{\text{Ph}_2\text{P}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{PPh}_2\}\text{BPh}_4]$, Johnson Matthey Research Laboratories for the loan of gold salts, and the S.E.R.C. and the Iraq Government for financial support.

References

- 1 R. V. Parish, O. Parry, and C. A. McAuliffe, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1981, 2098.
- 2 C. B. Colburn, W. E. Hill, C. A. McAuliffe, and R. V. Parish, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1979, 218.
- 3 C. A. Tolman, *Chem. Rev.*, 1977, 77, 313.
- 4 J. S. Charlton and D. I. Nichols, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, 1970, 1484.
- 5 C. A. McAuliffe, R. V. Parish, and P. D. Randall, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1977, 1426.
- 6 F. A. Vollenbroek, P. C. P. Bouten, J. M. Trooster, J. P. van den Berg, and J. J. Bour, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1978, 17, 1345.
- 7 P. G. Jones, A. G. Maddock, M. J. Mays, M. M. Muir, and A. F. Williams, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1977, 1434.
- 8 M. P. A. Vieggers, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 1976.
- 9 R. V. Parish and J. D. Rush, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1979, 63, 37.
- 10 K. Moss, R. V. Parish, A. Laguna, M. Laguna, and R. Usón, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1983, 2071.
- 11 P. G. Jones, G. M. Sheldrick, J. A. Muir, M. M. Muir, and L. B. Pulgar, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1982, 2123.
- 12 G. C. H. Jones, P. G. Jones, A. G. Maddock, M. J. Mays, P. A. Vergnano, and A. F. Williams, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1977, 1440.

Received 22nd June 1983; Paper 3/1059